



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	4666	Name	DJ	Speller	
Me	thod	Survey				
Da	te					
		elements of th	e full response suc	h as formatting a	uncil's database of responses to the nd images may not appear accurating Policy team: ldfconsult@epping	tely. Should you wish to review
Su	rvey Respoi	nse:				
1.	Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?					
	Strongly disagree					
	Please expla	ain your choid	ce in Question 1:			
2.	Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District? Strongly disagree Please explain your choice in Question 2:					
3.	, ,	•	oposals for devel ce in Question 3:	opment around	Harlow?	

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4666 Name D J Speller





4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Buckhurst Hill?

Loughton Broadway?

Chipping Ongar?

Loughton High Road?

Waltham Abbey?

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

I attended the draft local plan exhibition at Epping Hall on Friday 11th Nov 2016. I was unable to get any satisfactory answers about proposed infrastructure plans. The draft document section 6.2 suggest that open spaces, leisure and community facilities will be high on the agenda. I am at odds to see how this ties in with selecting a well used and central sports centre. Bury lane cricket ground and Stonards HIII playing field as potential housing sites. (please find attached copy of a section of the East London and Essex Gazette which highlights the improved protection of this site granted in the Jubilee year 2012) The proposal seems to make a mockery of the lands new status. With the well being of the population being an important factor, the selection of these sites seems wrong. It would appear that the net for securing land is spread in all directions with little thought for the overall impact. Would it be viable to consider the many acres of North Weald

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4666 Name D J Speller





aerodrome as a potential site 2. I am interested to hear where the employment opportunities are expected, when the initial building work force have completed their work.

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

- 7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan? Please explain your choice in Question 7:
- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4666 Name D J Speller