

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	4787	Name	JM	Sheen
Method	Survey			
Date		_		

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: <a href="https://docs.org/licenses/lice

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4787 Name J M Sheen





- 4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in... Epping?
 Buckhurst Hill?
 Loughton Broadway?
 Chipping Ongar?
 Loughton High Road?
 Waltham Abbey?
 Please explain your choice in Question 4:
- 5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sheen





 Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area? Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Yes

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Thornwood is a village, if 120 dwellings was to built that would be unacceptable. Firstly Thornwood is prone to flooding our back gardens gets very flooded when it rains and takes a long time to drain away the proposed area is Green Belt a nature reserve is very close to the area. And traffic is already a great problem, Weald Hall lane is already gets congested and can be very dangerous with parked vehicles and at times large lorries from the industrial estates. Driving on to the High Road and driving off Main Road onto Weald Hall Lane is very dangerous at times and very surprised that there is no more accidents then there is.

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Sheen

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





- 7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan? Please explain your choice in Question 7:
- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sheen