

## Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 30 Name mylene perry

---

Method Survey

---

Date

---

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: [ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk](mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk)

### Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

It is important that the areas we live in are not destroyed by further traffic and congestion which is at breaking point now

---

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

The areas we live in are very rural and the building of more homes would destroy the countryside and ruin open spaces and we are saturated with traffic now and you cannot get a seat on the trains so it is not at all practicable.

---

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

try driving around Harlow and Epping most days and it is almost gridlocked we cant accomodte more traffic without destroying the countryside

---

### Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 30 Name mylene perry

---

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No

Buckhurst Hill?

No

Loughton Broadway?

No

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

We have enough shops and supermarkets for our needs and dont need to release any green belt areas or destroy our countryside any further.

---

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

areas like Debden industrial estate can be developed further to create more jobs but not on any green belt land.

---

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

**No**

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

**Epping cannot cope with any more traffic and parking is a nightmare**

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

**No**

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

**Loughton has a good selection of commercial and residential and cannot cope with any more traffic. The underground cant cope as you cant get a seat on the train and parking is very difficult**

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

**No**

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

**Buckhurst Hill is primarily residential the roads are narrow and it cannot cope with any further traffic**

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

**No**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

**North Weald is a village and should remain one. The singke traffic through the high road cannot cope at all with any more traffic and you dont have an underground line so you have to travel to Epping and to park there**

and get a train is a nightmare. Leave North Weald as it is and improve the Roads and road marking put in speed cameras and some CCTVS.

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

**No**

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

**Traffic congestion will not allow any further development and the narrow bridge as you get to Abridge causes major delays**

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

---

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

**Agree**

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

**we need more GPs and St margarets needs to be developed further especially for AandE as Harlow Hospital cannot cope, I know this from personal experience**

---

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

**All the plans are theoretical as residents we see our countryside being ruined congested and destroyed. Progress is a good thing but our roads and services cannot cope now. Additional housing would only make matters worse**

---

## Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?