



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	3106	Name	Mr & Mrs Len & Williams Lynne
Method	Survey		
Date		_	

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: <a href="https://docs.org/licenses/lice

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree Please explain your choice in Question 1:

- places green belt in danger
- 2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

not in line with government thinking

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

may cause danger to the green belt

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3106

Name Mr & Mrs Len & Williams Lynne





4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping? No opinion Buckhurst Hill? No opinion Loughton Broadway? No opinion Chipping Ongar? No opinion Loughton High Road? No opinion Waltham Abbey? No opinion Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development? No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area? 6. Epping (Draft Policy P 1): No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Epping: Loughton (Draft Policy P 2) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton: Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey: Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar: Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill: North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett: Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett: Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8) No Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois: incursion on the green belt will undermine rural aspect Roydon (Draft Policy P 9) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon: Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing: Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3106

Name Mr & Mrs Len & Williams Lynne





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

- 7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?
 Strongly disagree
 Please explain your choice in Question 7:
 no provision for infrastructure
- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

does not support the development. transport links are already at and well over capacity. Station roadworks and bus links already inadequate.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

no detailed green belt policy, what does 'material larger" mean. No parking provisions. Local character put at risk. Where is the masterplan.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Williams