



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	2680	Name	Nicola	Parsons	
Method		Survey				
Dat	te					
	This documer 2016. Some	elements of th	ne full response suc	h as formatting ar	ncil's database of responses to the Draft Loca d images may not appear accurately. Should y g Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov	you wish to review
Su	rvey Respoi	nse:				
1.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan se	ts out for Epping Forest District?	
	Strongly dis	agree				
	Please expla	in your choi	ce in Question 1:			
	surrounding only saving great pride	gareas - Lou graces. To f in Jessel Gr	ghton, Buckhurs take this away fr	t Hill, Chigwell, om us would be eautiful space, a	hetically pleasing in comparison to son Theydon Bois, Epping, and our greens a incredibly unfair and leave us with ver nd with its memories and the importan	are one of our ry little. I take
2.	-		verall vision that ce in Question 2:	the Draft Plan se	s out for Epping Forest District?	
3.	, ,	•	roposals for develoe in Question 3:	opment around	arlow?	

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





4.	bo you agree with the proposed snopping area in
	Epping?
	No opinion
	Buckhurst Hill?
	No opinion
	Loughton Broadway?
	No opinion
	Chipping Ongar?
	No opinion
	Loughton High Road?
	No opinion
	Waltham Abbey?
	No opinion
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

.......Redacted........... Debden is not the most aesthetically pleasing in comparison to some of the surrounding areas - Loughton, Buckhurst Hill, Chigwell, Theydon Bois, Epping, and our greens are one of our only saving graces. To take this away from us would be incredibly unfair and leave us with very little. I take great pride in Jessel Green which is a beautiful space, and with its memories and the important role and impact it has on me now, we cannot afford to lose it.

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

- 7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan? Please explain your choice in Question 7:
- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)