



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	akeholder ID	3180	Name	anthony	Young		
Me	thod	Survey					
Da	te						
		elements of th	e full response suc	h as formatting and	cil's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consimages may not appear accurately. Should you wish to Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk		
Su	rvey Respo	nse:					
1.	Do you agre	e with the ov	erall vision that	the Draft Plan set	out for Epping Forest District?		
	Strongly dis	sagree					
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:						
	No building parking	on the gree	n belt Not enoug	nh schools to cope	with extra housing Not enough doctors, dentist	s car	
2.	Do you agre		verall vision that	the Draft Plan set	out for Epping Forest District?		
	Please expla	ain your choid	ce in Question 2:				
	It will destr	oy the local	area				
3.	Do you agre	e with the pr	oposals for deve	lopment around Ha	arlow?		
	No opinion	o www.	opesais for deve	iopinom arouna in			
	-	ain your choid	ce in Question 3:				

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in
	Epping?
	No opinion
	Buckhurst Hill?
	No
	Loughton Broadway?
	No
	Chipping Ongar?
	No opinion
	Loughton High Road?
	No
	Waltham Abbey?
	No opinion
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:
	The new Loughton site is only accessible by car not public transport
E	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?
	Strongly disagree
	Please explain your choice in Ouestion 5.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

As before we haven't got the infrastructure to deal with it





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

Ludicrous lose car parks green belt no thoughts for the area at all

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

There isn't any logic in this approach

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)