

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1453 Name Michael Emmett

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

1) I cannot see that the vision ensures an enhanced quality of life 2) I cannot see that the vision supports the local economy 3) The vision does not protect the green belt

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

1 I see no justification to release Green Belt Land. 2 Any release of Green Belt Land endangers the the principle of the green belt and will surely lead to future erosion.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

1 Additional housing is required 2 Harlow wants expansion 3 Better than eroding The Green Belt

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1453 Name Michael Emmett

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

1) Debden has a unique and vibrant shopping centre 2 Large shopping developments will damage Debden 3 Large shopping developments are unlikely to be viable on-going

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

1 The Draft Policy indicates a greatly disproportionate number of dwellings suggested for Theydon Bois. THERE IS NO JUSTIFICATION FOR THIS. 2 Theydon Bois does not have the infrastructure, eg schools, health surgeries, to support and expansion of nearly 25% 3 An expansion of nearly 25% will change the character of Theydon Bois 4 The 'Black Skies' policy of Theydon Bois makes it difficult or impossible to build an extensive development south of the railway line 5 Extensive development south of the railway line will likely create an old and new Theydon Bois which will mar both communities 6 The Abridge Road will not be able to safely accommodate the traffic created by a significant development south of the railway line 7 Whilst landowners will greatly benefit from the release of green belt land, the younger generation of Theydon Bois are unlikely to

afford or qualify for the dwellings built 8 IT IS IMPERATIVE THAT NO INCUSION INTO THE GREEN BELT IS ALLOWED

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

-
7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

It makes little sense

-
8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

-
9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)