



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	akeholder ID	2191	Name	Kerr	Family	
Method		Survey				
Da	te					
		elements of th	ne full response suc	h as formatting a		es to the Draft Local Plan Consultation accurately. Should you wish to review eppingforestdc.gov.uk
Su	rvey Respo	nse:				
1.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan s	ets out for Epping Forest	t District?
	Strongly agi	ree				
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 1:			
2.	Strongly agi	ree	verall vision that ce in Question 2:	the Draft Plan s	ets out for Epping Forest	t District?
		in your choi	ce in Question 2.			
3.	Do you agre	e with the pr	roposals for deve	opment around	Harlow?	
	Strongly agi	ree				
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 3:			

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2191 Name Kerr Family





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in
	Epping?
	No opinion
	Buckhurst Hill?
	No opinion
	Loughton Broadway?
	No opinion
	Chipping Ongar?
	No opinion
	Loughton High Road?
	No opinion
	Waltham Abbey?
	No opinion
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?
	No opinion
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2191 Name Kerr Family





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Yes

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Family

No opinion

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2191 Name Kerr





Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

http://eppingforest.consultationonline.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/sites/5/gravity_forms/3-fce9873862dde780a40e3cbe24771a88/2016/12/NWB-Local-Plan-Rep-Dec-2016-FINAL-LR.pdf

- Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?
 No opinion
 - Please explain your choice in Question 7:
- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2191 Name Kerr Family