



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	ikeholder ID	2435	Name	Kevin	Hughes		
Ме	thod	Survey					
Da	te						
		elements of th	ne full response suc	h as formatting ar	ncil's database of responses to t d images may not appear accura g Policy team: ldfconsult@eppin	tely. Should you wish to review	
Su	rvey Respo	nse:					
1.	Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?						
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:						
2.	, ,		verall vision that ce in Question 2:	the Draft Plan se	ets out for Epping Forest Distr	ict?	
3.	, ,	•	roposals for deve ce in Question 3:	opment around	Harlow?		

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2435 Name Kevin Hughes





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in
	Epping?
	No opinion
	Buckhurst Hill?
	No opinion
	Loughton Broadway?
	No opinion
	Chipping Ongar?
	No opinion
	Loughton High Road?
	No opinion
	Waltham Abbey?
	No opinion
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2435 Name Kevin Hughes





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

A 23% increase in the population of this village with zero increase in facilities such as schools, doctors surgeries, transportation is a totally ridiculous proposition. The railway line is an extremely well defined green belt boundary which should not be breached. The draft policy proposes to replace this boundary with ill

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





defined ditches which can easily be removed thereby leading to further destruction of green belt in years to come. The railway MUST be kept as the defining limit to development in Theydon Bois.

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

- 7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan? Please explain your choice in Question 7:
- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

The proposed development around Theydon Bois would create a ridiculous amount of congestion to the roads, buses and underground. There are very limited facilities locally meaning that travel to larger towns is a daily necessity for most inhabitants.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2435 Name Kevin Hughes