



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	2600	Name	Laura	Dimmock		
Method	Survey					
Date						
	e elements of th	ne full response suc	ch as formatting ar	ncil's database of responses to th d images may not appear accurat g Policy team: ldfconsult@epping	ely. Should you wish to review	
Survey Resp	onse:					
1. Do you agr	ee with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan se	ets out for Epping Forest Distric	ct?	
Strongly d	lisagree					
Please exp	olain your choi	ce in Question 1:				
		other public ser ur services furth		y full to capacity in our local	area and this proposed	
2. Do you ago		verall vision that	the Draft Plan se	ets out for Epping Forest Distric	ct?	
Please exp	olain your choi	ce in Question 2:				
The existi	The existing infrastructure cannot cope at present and this plan will make matters 10 times worse.					
2 Do you ag	coo with the pu	ronosals for dovo	lonmont around	Jarlow?		
3. Do you ago	-	roposals for deve	юртент агоина	Tai iuw?		
0,5	· ·	co in Ouestien 2.				
·	•	ce in Question 3:	ata for this rese	acad now dayalanmant		
mese ser	vices propose	u are not adequa	ate for this prop	osed new development		

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2600 Name Laura Dimmock





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in
	Epping?
	No
	Buckhurst Hill?
	No opinion
	Loughton Broadway?
	No opinion
	Chipping Ongar?
	No opinion
	Loughton High Road?
	No opinion
	Waltham Abbey?
	No
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?
	Disagree
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Dimmock

Stakeholder ID 2600 Name Laura





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

The current roads, school and other services are already stretched and these proposals will weaken or break it Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2600 Name Laura Dimmock





No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?
 Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

- An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2600 Name Laura Dimmock