



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	2492	Name	Jeanette	Davison		
Method		Survey					
Da	te						
		elements of th	e full response suc	ch as formatting and	's database of responses to the Draft Local Planages may not appear accurately. Should you olicy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk		
Su	rvey Respo	nse:					
1.	Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?						
	Strongly dis	sagree					
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:						
	The plan is	not protecti	ng the green bel	It and impact on th	e boundaries of the green belt land.		
2.	Do you agre Strongly dis		erall vision that	the Draft Plan sets	out for Epping Forest District?		
	Please expla	ain your choic	e in Question 2:				
	services/fac	cilities to sup d it is difficu	port the addition	onal houses and peor's appointment w	where we do not have the infrastructuople where other areas do. The local sch th the same Doctor or any Doctor some th are already busy, especially at peak t	nool is at full times. It will	
3.	Do you agre	e with the pr	oposals for deve	lopment around Ha	low?		

It has better infrastructure than Theydon Bois so it makes more sense to focus development in larger towns as

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2492 Name Jeanette Davison

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

long as it is not on green belt land



Epping?

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...



	Yes
	Buckhurst Hill?
	Yes
	Loughton Broadway?
	Yes
	Chipping Ongar?
	Yes
	Loughton High Road?
	Yes
	Waltham Abbey?
	Yes
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:
	As long as it helps and is not to the detriment of existing local retail facilities. The housing developments should be focused in areas which already have primary shopping areas
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?
	Strongly disagree
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:
	Not on green belt sites. As mentioned before the infrastructure will not cope, especially around Theydon Bois

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2492 Name Jeanette Davison





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

The areas are green belt and it will have an adverse impact on our village and surrounding countryside. It will take the village feel away from Theydon Bois. The amount of homes is increasing our village by nearly 1/4 which is a huge amount. It's already challenging to leave the village during rush hour, especially via the bridge at Abridge. They Tube is already busy even though we are the second stop on the line. The school is at capacity. I know several people around the village that have problems with sewage coming up via the manholes when the rain us heavy and further housing will make this problem worse and how will new housing impact on our dark skies policy. As mentioned before, I never see the same Doctor and know relatives that try to book to see their Doctor and cant get an appointment within the 6 week booking window provided by the Limes because the appointments have all gone. We are also constantly having powercuts, especially recently

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2492 Name Jeanette Davison





so how can we power new homes when existing homes suffer. Who has determined the sites? Landowners. And should that be what the decision s based on?

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

I'm unsure from the plans what these will be as it is not specific enough.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

As mentioned before roads and transport links are are full capacity already and the extra pressure and traffic from 360 homes will cause further damage to roads and reduce safety in the village with more cars on the road. Green belt should be retained and housing numbers alone are not enough reason to encroach on green belt land

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

General: More details/policies on design and infrastructure Local character maintained at the least.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2492 Name Jeanette Davison