



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	2750	Name	Andrew	Gale
Me	thod	Survey			
Dat	te				
		elements of the full	l response suc	h as formatting and	cil's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation I images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk
Sui	rvey Respoi	nse:			
1.	Do you agree	e with the overall	vision that	the Draft Plan set	s out for Epping Forest District?
	Strongly dis	agree			
	Please expla	in your choice in	Question 1:		
	offers a muc on ALL of the incorporate lessons lear be built upon designed NO	ch better plannir ne green spaces o open spaces to o nt from the high on would ignore t	ng model. The properties of the people of th	nis is particularly rm. In my opinic a nicer environm rete Jungle" disa s from the past a he Housing Crisis	and believe that the Chigwell neighbourhood Plan true in relation to the DLP's plan to build 210 houses on, the estate was deliberately designed to nent in which to live. This probably stems from the sters of the 50-60's. To allow ALL the green space to and turn Limes Farm into the concrete jungle it was a the DLP will just create a different more social type
2.	No opinion	e with the overall		the Draft Plan set	s out for Epping Forest District?
3.	No opinion	e with the propos		opment around H	arlow?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in					
	Epping?					
	No opinion					
	Buckhurst Hill?					
	No opinion					
	Loughton Broadway?					
	No opinion					
	Chipping Ongar?					
	No opinion					
	Loughton High Road?					
	No opinion					
	Waltham Abbey?					
	No opinion					
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:					
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?					
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:					

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Gale





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

I thought I should write to express my objection to the District Councils Draft Local Plan (DLP) and its suggestion to build an additional 210 homes on ALL of the Limes Farm open spaces. In my opinion, the estate was deliberately designed to incorporate open spaces to give people a nicer environment in which to live. This probably stems from the lessons learnt from the high-rise "Concrete Jungle" disasters of the 50-60's. To allow ALL the green space to be built upon would ignore these lessons from the past and turn Limes Farm into the concrete jungle it was designed NOT to be. It would then become just a continuation of the urban sprawl that is London and Redbridge. How the District Local Plan can suggest that Limes Farm offers development in a "sustainable location" is a bit beyond me. The local infrastructure already feels like its creaking. An additional 210 homes would only make this worse and take it past breaking point. For example:- 1. The lack of existing school places.Redacted.... 2. Traffic - With only one road in / out of the estate it can be very difficult to exit the estate, particularly if you want to turn right, across the traffic and go up the hill towards Chiqwell. The cumulative effect of other recent housing developments in the local area (e.g. Repton Park in Woodford Bridge, the garden nursery site opposite Grange Hill Station and the Kelvin Hughes factory site in Hainault,) means there is more traffic and it's becoming increasingly difficult to in/get out of Limes Farm especially at the busy rush hour/school times. The Bald Hind traffic lights between Manor and Fencepiece Road is a bit of a pinch point and traffic queues are frequent. 3. Public Transport. As a commuter I use the Central Line daily. The number of "rush hour" services for the stations between Hainault and Woodford was cut during August2016 by TFL. Not surprisingly this has led to busier "standing room only" journeys into work. I know "off peak", the tube service has plenty of capacity but with more houses how would the line cope? 4. Increased pressure on local Doctors, Dentists etc.Redacted..... In conclusion, I feel

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





that that the District councils DLP in trying to solve the Housing Crisis will just create a different more social type of crisis for the existing community of Limes Farm. It would certainly be a less pleasant place to live. I've read the Parish Council's own Chigwell Neighbourhood Plan (CNP) and whilst I don't agree with everything contained within this proposal, especially the loss of the waste transfer facility and the Camp Ground at Chigwell Row (that would be a real shame for the Guides, Scouts and DofE who use it), I think that overall, it offers a better thought out solution for the local area than does the DLP. I therefore request that the Chigwell Neighbourhood Plan be adopted in place of the existing proposed development model for Chigwell.

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

- An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Draft Policy P 7 Chigwell

At the risk of repeating myself the I feel that that the District councils DLP for Chigwell will in trying to solve the Housing Crisis will just create a different more social type of crisis for the existing community of Limes

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





Farm with the loss of all the green space. I've read the Parish Council's own Chigwell Neighbourhood Plan (CNP) and whilst I don't agree with everything contained within this proposal I think overall, it offers a better thought out solution for the local area than does the DLP. It would therefore be preferable if the CNP be adopted in place of the existing proposed development model for Chigwell.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)