



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	2033	Name	john	Beedham		
Method		Survey					
Dat	е						
		elements of the	full response suc	ch as formatting ar	ncil's database of responses to the Draft d images may not appear accurately. Sho g Policy team: <u>Idfconsult@eppingforestdo</u>	uld you wish to review	
Sur	vey Respoi	nse:					
1.	Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District? Disagree						
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:						
	your putting	g dwellings in	places that do	not have room			
2.	Do you agree	e with the ove	erall vision that	the Draft Plan se	ts out for Epping Forest District?		
	Please expla	in your choice	e in Question 2:				
			in the whole a can cope with		DC is infilling on a grand scale 1140	0 dwellings = 25000	
3.	Do you agree	e with the pro	posals for deve	lopment around	Harlow?		
	Please expla	ain your choice	e in Question 3:				
	if you build	3200 dwellin	gs on Latton p	rioy and that are	a you are joining Harlow to epping		

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2033 Name john Beedham





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in					
	Epping?					
	No opinion					
	Buckhurst Hill?					
	No opinion					
	Loughton Broadway?					
	No opinion					
	Chipping Ongar?					
	No opinion					
	Loughton High Road?					
	No opinion					
	Waltham Abbey?					
	No opinion					
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:					
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?					
	No opinion					
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:					
	i can't see any benefit					

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2033

Name john

Beedham





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

all you have done is to squeeze any development in where you can whether it fits or not

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2033 Name john Beedham





No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

- 7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan? Please explain your choice in Question 7:
- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2033 Name john Beedham