

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 64 Name William tuson

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

I agree with the vision, but disagree with the extensive home building plans to meet the top three objectives for the next twenty. The proposals do not protect the green belt as you are proposing adjustments.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

I cannot disagree strongly enough with the intention to release green belt land, not least because one proposal is within 200metres of my property. There must be sufficient brownfield sites to accommodate the 11000 homes without needing to reduce the countryside footprint and deepen the pockets of landowners and developers. The LSCC should not become a suburb of London with ever decreasing countryside.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

I wonder if re-use of existing sites and improvement of existing services should be exhausted before increasing the population, footprint and knock-on negative consequences to surrounding countryside, neighbourhoods and property value including a likely increase in crime and anti social behaviour.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 64

Name William

tuson

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

I agree if the expansion aims to target employment for the current community, if its a larger transient workforce, taking all the benefits of the area without contributing to it, plus a major strain on transportation, then I am against.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

I am 100% against the proposal to release green belt land for SR-0032. This area of lower sheering has already undergone increased residential footprint in the last 18 months within a 500msq area, namely EPF/0864/15 and EPF/3121/15. The latter receiving multiple objections from local residents including myself. The proposed greenfield development of SR-0032 will not be sympathetic to the area, cause major traffic conjection on an already busy junction, add more strain on the commute from the train station - its already difficult to get a seat into London at 7am, it will add 1-2 years of building work noise and disruption to the area, and also change the dynamic irrevocably from a quiet country setting to a built up estate feel. I equally have no faith that the building works will consider local residents, its on record that there were multiple recorded breaches of weekend working hours at EPF/3121/15, I have had HGVs park out my house at 6am with engines running, delivery men using my street as a lavatory, and we have endured two summers of the noise, dirt, dust of construction as well as listening to loud music and foul language. To sit in your garden in good weather in Sawbridgeworth should be a stress free pleasure, for two years it has not. The thought of another major construction site merely 200m away from this one genuinely makes me worried about whether I can still live here. I also don't think that Sawbridgeworth has the amenities, services and infrastructure to cope. There has to be a point where villages are left as villages and the green space surrounding them is protected.

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Improving current infrastructure is a priority, building new infrastructure because proposals are to build 11000 new homes is not how the objectives in the plan are portrayed.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Yes, please do not release any of the green belt land for development in anyway shape or form.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?