

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4667 Name Jane Barnett

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Green belt should not be released

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4667

Name Jane

Barnett

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Buckhurst Hill?

Loughton Broadway?

Chipping Ongar?

Loughton High Road?

Waltham Abbey?

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

The Council has a poor record in encouraging retail use. Epping High Street used to have useful shops catering for people everyday needs e.g. motor spaces, stationary etc. Now it has almost 20 coffee shops and more tanning salons than needed. The council needs to encourage retail space which caters for everyday needs. The council also needs to improve car parking for the *illegible* as often the car parks are full. A proposal to build on bakers/cotts lane car park is simply daft.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Not only do I disagree with some of the sites earmarked for future *illegible* development. But I strongly oppose the council's new conduct of no longer including provision for parking, new planning applications for having roads are dangerous to navigate due to on street parking. Currently and there are frequent *illegible* and cars parked in the middle of the road to make deliveries. Residents are having to park two or three streets away from their homes. Unacceptable for families with small children and dangerous. Such actions lead to animosity and breakdown community relations. I would have thought councillors were capable of being a little

more *illegible* the need for housing is the tail *illegible* the go. The priority should be to develop Epping in such a way not retain community not build houses whatever the cost.

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

I understood that the other agencies deal with schools, health and transport and that councils such as our own district council do not have any say in the matter. If you do, well good luck in your discussion their other bodies.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
-

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

All planning applications for housing must have sufficient parking provision and be refused on this basis if not. I have lost confidence in my councillors *illegible* have proposed an unrealistic and achievable plan. I question whether they have the ability to make an appropriate decisions on housing when they seem *illegible* rolling through - no matter at what cost.
