



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	2880	Name	Jennifer	Welsh			
Met	thod	Survey						
Dat	е							
		elements of th	e full response suc	h as formatting and	images may not appea	nses to the Draft Local Plan Cons r accurately. Should you wish to t@eppingforestdc.gov.uk		
Sur	vey Respo	nse:						
1.	Do you agre	e with the ov	erall vision that	the Draft Plan sets	out for Epping Fore	st District?		
	Strongly dis	agree						
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:							
				belt as it propose d to be protected		naining green space we have	;	
2.	Do you agre	e with the ov	erall vision that	the Draft Plan sets	out for Epping Fore	st District?		
	Please expla	ain your choid	ce in Question 2:					
3.	, ,	e with the pr	oposals for devel	opment around Ha	ırlow?			
	Disagree		i Oti 2					
	•	•	ce in Question 3:	6		banda dankan 10 0.1		
				f supporting infra e that will then re		hools, doctors and hospitals		

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2880 Name Jennifer Welsh





4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

Yes

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

Yes

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

I think the retail development is of value and will provide jobs in the area

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

I would strongly object to site SR-0356 as this is one of the largest sites for housing proposed and in this area there is nothing but residential homes. Within his estate here are no green spaces or parks available for children, where as elsewhere there is parks close by for younger children. In addition this will put pressure of school places for alderton school and no details of school places support is mentioned. I appreciate that currently the site is just field but it is used for dog walking and children to run about it and local community events by the college. I believe the site could be better used for a green space or children's playgroup for the vast number of houses already surrounding it, or as a compromise a smaller number of residential houses but with a children's park for all the surrounding children to attend. In addition I had understood the Jessel green

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2880 Name Jennifer Welsh





space to be prot cited land so do not think that should be build on either as extensively. I do also have concerns as to the library services provision given the proposal to remove th car park and surrounding area.

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2880 Name Jennifer Welsh





7.	Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?
	Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

The straits should be clearer more specific and contractually part of any development contract to a construction company or this will not be forthcoming and the local r students will suffer.

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Name Jennifer