



# Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	ikeholder ID	2442	Name	Gareth	Fuller		
Me	thod	Survey					
Da	te						
		elements of the	full response suc	h as formatting an	cil's database of responses to the images may not appear accurate Policy team: Idfconsult@eppingf	ely. Should you wish to review	
Su	rvey Respo	nse:					
1.	Do you agre	e with the ove	rall vision that	the Draft Plan se	s out for Epping Forest Distric	t?	
	Agree						
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:						
	Generally, t	the plan has ta	aken a lot of th	ne different influ	encing factors into account a	nd broadly seems fair.	
2.	Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?						
	No opinion						
	Please explain your choice in Question 2:						
	I agree on t locations ar		the spread ac	ross the district	but some of the individual a	reas chosen at different	
3.	Do you agre	e with the pro	posals for deve	lopment around l	arlow?	_	
	Agree		•	•			
	Please expla	ain your choice	in Question 3:				
Ιp	-	-		and the extensio	ns plus the extra facilities wo	ould appear to work well	
						_	

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2442 Name Gareth Fuller

1





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in					
	Epping?					
	Yes					
	Buckhurst Hill?					
	No opinion					
	Loughton Broadway? No opinion					
	Chipping Ongar?					
	Yes					
	Loughton High Road?					
	No opinion Waltham Abbey?					
	No opinion					
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:					
	Keeping the focus on the main High Street in Epping is the best solution.					
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?					
	No opinion					
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:					

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2442 Name Gareth Fuller





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

The sites south of Brook Road and Ivy Chimneys (SR0069, SR0069/33 and SR0113B) would put enormous pressure on Brook Road itself which is already very difficult to travel through at peak times. Road improvements would have to be made here. It was also not clear from the plan how education needs would be met by these new sites especially when SR-0153 is also added into the picture.

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

#### No

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

SR-0848 on the leisure centre site seems unnecessary. The leisure centre facilities would need to be retained as it is one of the only swimming pools in the area (we travel there from Epping currently).

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

#### Yes

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

The plan to extend North Weald Bassett and make it self-sufficient would be good for the area as a whole.

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

Yes

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

The areas east of the railway line seem to be a good location for new development with little impact.

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

## No opinion

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2442 Name Gareth Fuller





Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

# Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Infrastructure provision is key to the whole concept of the housing areas. Road improvements, schools, doctors, utilities etc. should be provided along with the proposed housing areas so that local residents can get a full picture of how their area will look in the future.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

None

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2442 Name Gareth Fuller