



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID Method		1696 Survey	Name	Jacqueline	Pam	
Da	te					
		elements of th	e full response suc	h as formatting and in	's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultati nages may not appear accurately. Should you wish to revie olicy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk	
Su	rvey Respo	nse:				
1.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan sets	out for Epping Forest District?	
	Strongly agi	ree				
	Please expla	ain your choid	ce in Question 1:			
2.	Do you agre Strongly agi		verall vision that	the Draft Plan sets	out for Epping Forest District?	
	Please expla	ain your choid	ce in Question 2:			
3.	Do you agre	e with the pr	oposals for devel	opment around Har	low?	
	Strongly agi	ree				
	Please expla	ain your choid	ce in Question 3:			

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1696 Name Jacqueline Pam





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in
	Epping?
	Yes
	Buckhurst Hill?
	Yes
	Loughton Broadway?
	Yes
	Chipping Ongar?
	Yes
	Loughton High Road?
	Yes
	Waltham Abbey?
	Yes
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?
	Strongly agree
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1696 Name Jacqueline Pam





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

Yes

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

I am only concerned about any loss of parking for commuters and shoppersI am only concerned about any loss of parking for commuters and shoppers

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chiqwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

Yes

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

I am only concerned about any loss of parking for commuters and shoppers

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Yes

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1696

Name Jacqueline

Pam





This is an underdeveloped area, the intelligent development proposed can only enhance the community and offer the least invasive perspective.

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?
 Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Please consider the benefits of developing underused (low popoulated) locations rather than adding to the already saturated areas.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1696 Name Jacqueline Pam