

Stakeholder Reference:

Document Reference:

Part A

Making representation as Resident or Member of the General Public

Personal Details	Agent's Details (if applicable)	
Title	Miss	
First Name	Ellie	
Last Name	Shillaker	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address		
Post Code		
Telephone Number		
E-mail Address		

Part B

REPRESENTATION

To which Main Modification number and/or supporting document of the Local Plan does your representation relate to?

MM no: 78

Supporting document reference:

Do you consider this Main Modification and/or supporting document of the Local Plan to be:

Legally compliant: No

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Effective, Justified

Please give details of why you consider the Main Modification and/or supporting document is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

- The land allocated is on Green belt and therefore still not legally compliant.
- The noise air quality associated with the M25 is still relevant and therefore reducing the dwellings still does not address this,
- Likewise, the presence of overhead powerlines that have not still been considered nor eradicated

The above are all reasons that were expressed by government's Planning Inspector previously and in our view the council's proposed changes do not respond fully to these concerns and therefore the local plan is neither justified or effective in its current form.

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Main Modification and/or supporting document legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with national policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Deleting South Epping from the plan would address these concerns and still enable the district to meet the housing numbers required by the government (especially when taking into account the many new flats proposed for Epping Town Centre).

Signature: Ellie Shillaker Date:
20/09/2021