



## Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	1383	Name	Richard	Searle	
Ме	thod	Survey				
Da	te					
		elements of th	e full response suc	ch as formatting and	images may not appe	onses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation ear accurately. Should you wish to review <a href="mailto:ult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk">ult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk</a>
Su	rvey Respoi	nse:				
1.	Do you agree	e with the ov	verall vision that ce in Question 1:	the Draft Plan set	s out for Epping For	est District?
2.	Do you agree	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan set	s out for Epping For	est District?
	•	•	ce in Question 2: y of social (affor	dable) housing.		
3.	Do you agree	e with the pr	oposals for deve	lopment around H	arlow?	
	Please expla	ain your choid	ce in Question 3:			

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1383 Name Richard Searle



4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...



	Epping?				
	Buckhurst Hill?				
	Loughton Broadway?				
	Chipping Ongar?				
	Loughton High Road?				
	Valtham Abbey?				
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:				
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?				
J.	Agree				
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:				

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1383 Name Richard





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Yes

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

- 1) Main problem with lower Sheering will be highway connection & County Boundary with Herts follows Ditch Lane in Road Island. Awkward present road junction with blind bend approaches. 2) Lack of community facility
- 7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

See no 6 no community facility for Lower Sheering

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1383 Name Richard Searle





8.	An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
9.	Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Searle

Stakeholder ID 1383 Name Richard