



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	2641	Name	Elaine	Austin		
Method		Survey					
Dat	te						
		elements of th	ne full response suc	ch as formatting ar	ncil's database of responses to the Draft Loca d images may not appear accurately. Should g Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov	you wish to review	
Sui	rvey Respo	nse:					
1.	Do you agre Disagree	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan se	ts out for Epping Forest District?		
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:						
	The overall villages	vision is too	extensive and r	makes the so cal	ed villages into Town with the infrastr	ucture for small	
2.	Disagree		verall vision that ce in Question 2:	the Draft Plan se	ts out for Epping Forest District?		
	As above						
3.	No opinion	·	roposals for deve	lopment around	larlow?		
	Do not live	•	ce in Question 3:				

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2641 Name Elaine Austin





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in					
4.	Epping? No opinion Buckhurst Hill? No opinion Loughton Broadway? No opinion					
	Chipping Ongar?					
	Yes					
	Loughton High Road?					
	No opinion					
	Waltham Abbey? No opinion					
		Ongar High Street need support and offering more shop accommodation would be good but only if the rents were affordable				
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?					
	No opinion					
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:					

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2641 Name Elaine Austin





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

Nο

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Building houses where the Ongar Leisure Centre is situated is backward thinking and one of the silliest ideas I have ever heard of. The area is about to expand so you want to close down the amenities which will be needed more than ever when the community expands. You build an Acadamy near the Leisure Centre so they can use the facilities and just as the project is coming along well you decide to build houses on the site thus removing the facilities for the school, totally illogical as new facilities will be required by the Academy as it grows. This is also used for the Doctors surgery parking, if this is removed people will park along the main road causing obstructions and chaos. What about disabled patients or patients who cannot walk long distances. This is utter madness. Perhaps you should look at the local infrastructure first including better public transport and the parking of parents around schools when picking up and dropping off their children. One of the reasons for living in Ongar is the relatively quiet Village location, if I wanted to live in a Town environment then I would move.

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2641 Name Elaine Austin

3





Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

I agree that the infrastructure needs to be included but it must be done properly and not half heartedly as is the norm.

- An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2641 Name Elaine Austin