



1

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID		2516	Name	Ronnie	Creed		
Method		Survey					
Da	te						
		elements of the	full response suc	ch as formatting an	ncil's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consu d images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to r g Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk		
Su	rvey Respoi	nse:					
1.	- 33						
	Agree Please explain your choice in Question 1:						
2.	Do you agree	e with the ove	rall vision that	the Draft Plan se	ts out for Epping Forest District?		
	Please expla	in your choice	in Question 2:				
	pressures) I (schools/he Weald 1600 Epping will reduction in get into the	am very condalth/highways new homes). be the station car parking secity and west	cerned with the open of the support the lam also very of a car park that space will have tend for work	e lack of any pro ne new developm or concerned to le is already under e negative impac	g development in the area (due mainly to governr posed new or improved infrastructure ents, particularly in Ongar (600 new homes & Nor arn that part of the proposed development area in pressure to service the demands of commuters. A ts on the prosperity of the area if commuters cann ng availability. I would really like to know what th ture?	th n N not	
3.			posals for deve	lopment around l	larlow?		
	Strongly agr		in Question 3:				

Creed

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Name Ronnie

Stakeholder ID 2516





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in					
	Epping?					
	Yes					
	Buckhurst Hill?					
	Yes					
	Loughton Broadway?					
	Yes					
	Chipping Ongar?					
	Yes					
	Loughton High Road?					
	Yes					
	Waltham Abbey?					
	Yes					
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:					
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?					
	Agree					
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:					

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Creed

Stakeholder ID 2516 Name Ronnie





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

The use of the station car park is of great concern. Parking in Epping is already at a premium and difficult, particularly for commuters who have jobs in the city and west end of London.

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

600 new homes in Chipping Ongar will put an immense pressure on the area. But I am very concerned with the proposal to use green belt land, specifically Greensted Rd (SR 0390) 175 new homes, and to the west of Chipping Ongar (067i) 73 new homes.

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Yes

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

But 1600 new homes - too many!!

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2516 Name Ronnie Creed





Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2516 Name Ronnie Creed