



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	ikeholder ID	2028	Name	Robert	Carr	
Method		Survey				
Da	te					
		elements of th	e full response suc	h as formatting an		ses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation accurately. Should you wish to review eeppingforestdc.gov.uk
Su	rvey Respoi	nse:				
1.	Do you agre	e with the ov	erall vision that	the Draft Plan se	ts out for Epping Fores	t District?
	Agree					
	Please expla	ain your choid	e in Question 1:			
2.	Agree		erall vision that se in Question 2:	the Draft Plan se	ts out for Epping Fores	t District?
3.	Agree		oposals for devel	opment around H	larlow?	

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2028 Name Robert Carr





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in						
	Epping?						
	No opinion						
	Buckhurst Hill?						
	Yes						
	Loughton Broadway? No opinion						
	Chipping Ongar?						
	No opinion						
	Loughton High Road?						
	No opinion						
	Waltham Abbey?						
	No opinion						
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:						
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?						
	Agree						
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:						

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2028

Name Robert

Carr





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

A ii) Council do NOT know their own area! (SR-0225) This car park is in QUEENS ROAD lower part, NOT Lower Queens Road, which is to the east of the railway!

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chiqwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2028 Name Robert Carr





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

5.89 B/Hill has NOT got "good public accessibility". To get to our local District Hospital, from B/Hill one has to use at least three buses, taking at least an hour and a half. We used to have two routes direct to Harlow and one bus as far as Epping from Buckhurst Hill; now nothing. Why is it that other areas in the district have a better public service than us 5.99 Over the years EFPC has allowed changes of shop usage, from owner occupiers to retail outlets. This has meant that before, the shop keepers lived above the premises, but now it is retail/services at street level and offices above; resulting in assistants/office staff coming in and filling parking spaces for genuine shoppers. One reason is high rates and rents. We are NO longer the village it used to be and people are shopping elsewhere.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2028 Name Robert Carr