Stakeholder Reference:		
Document Reference:		

## Making representation as Resident or Member of the General Public

Personal Details		Agent's Details (if applicable)			
Title	Mr				
First Name	John				
Last Name	Gardner				
Job Title (where relev	ob Title (where relevant)				
Organisation (where	relevant)				
Address	Redacted	• , ,			
Post Code	Redacted				
Telephone Number	Redacted	Redacted			
E-mail Address	Redacted				
Part B					

## **REPRESENTATION**

## To which part of the Main Modification and/or supporting document of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

MM no:

Supporting document reference: H. Revised Appendix 2 to the Epping Forest District Council Open Space Strategy (EB703), July 2021 (ED125/EB703A)

Do you consider this Main Modification and/or supporting document of the Local Plan to be:

Legally compliant: No

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Justified

Please give details of why you consider the Main Modification and/or supporting document is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The above supporting document contains an error in Table 8. The current Natural and Semi-Natural greenspace for Chipping Ongar is identified as 10.57Ha consisting of 5 sites. The 5 sites are identified in EB703, Appendix 1, Table 4 as Site no.s 127,166,167,169 and 170. Site 166 is a ploughed field used for farming so cannot be counted as Natural and Semi-Natural greenspace and should be subtracted from the 10.57Ha. This means that there is actually a greater deficit of Natural and Semi-Natural greenspace for Chipping Ongar than is stated. This is a material error and needs to be corrected,

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Main Modification and/or supporting document legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you

have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with national policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text.

The above error must be corrected and the Local Plan document updated in all areas that are impacted by this material error. In particular the Infrastructure delivery plan.

Signature: John Gardner Date: 16/07/2021