

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1418 Name Thomas Evans

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

I don't believe it's going to enhance the quality of life for the people who live in Epping. There will be less greenery, more congestion, more parking problems, and a whole array of issues will arise from this plan.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

I strongly disagree with the planning at SR-0069 and SR-0069/33 around Ivy Chimneys. First of all, the residents of Ivy Chimneys currently can't park near their own homes, they have to walk 5-10mins from wherever they can park to their house. There have been constant accidents in the area due to congestion, so I don't see how over 350 new homes in the area is going to help. If this does go ahead, the residents of Ivy Chimneys should be granted driveways (we have the space in our front gardens but have been denied it) so at least we will be able to park. Secondly, the area proposed is right by the M25. Our back gardens are already close to the M25 and we've had all sorts of items from the road make their way onto the field - such as Tyres and other parts. The highways team has regularly had to come and clear pieces of debris from cars driving by. So I don't see how it is going to be safe for new homes to be built there. Not to mention the noise of the road. Thirdly, the area is a beautiful green area with a nice view for all the residents. By building there you are not only ruining the earth, but you are depreciating the value of our homes.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1418

Name Thomas

Evans

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

There is more space here as it is further from london than the other proposals.

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No

Buckhurst Hill?

No

Loughton Broadway?

No

Chipping Ongar?

No

Loughton High Road?

No

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

All I am seeing here is more development, congestion, ruining greenery. People live in Epping and the surrounding areas for a quieter, nicer area because London is so over populated. I don't understand why you would want to make Epping into all the horrible other towns around London. It's one of the last nice districts around, and this proposal destroys it.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

As mentioned before, Epping is an area where people live for it's community, it's greenery, it's beauty. There is great access to London so employment here is already good. But it's already becoming over-congested, with residents finding it difficult to even park near there homes. By building hundreds of new homes you are

destroying everything about Epping, especially as there are still outstanding issues here already that haven't been addressed. I am absolutely shocked at this development scheme for Epping in particular.

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

-
7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
-

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?