



# Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	ikeholder ID	1668	Name	Janet	bateman	
Method		Survey				
Da	te					
		elements of th	ne full response suc	h as formatting ar	ncil's database of responses to the dimages may not appear accurate g Policy team: <a href="mailto:ldfconsult@eppingfc">ldfconsult@eppingfc</a>	ly. Should you wish to review
Su	rvey Respo	nse:				
1.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan se	ts out for Epping Forest District	?
	Agree					
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 1:			
		oks fine, bu the correct v		nanged along th	e line, so have reservations bo	ut the vision being
2.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan se	ts out for Epping Forest District	?
	Please expla	ain your choid	ce in Question 2:			
3.	Do you agre	e with the pr	oposals for deve	opment around	Harlow?	
	Please expla	ain your choid	ce in Question 3:			

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1668 Name Janet bateman





4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

**Buckhurst Hill?** 

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

Yes

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

Yes

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

As Loughton has been and is expanding the retail needs should be improved and expanded to meet these needs. At the present residents have to travel, long distances to purchase many items that are unobtainable in Loughton, we need to support our local high street as much as possible

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

We need to create more local jobs and bring revenue into the area

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Loughton is still a semi rural area and to build on existing green spaces will change the environment, these space will be lost forever. The proposals to build multi-storey car parks and flats is also in my opinion a gross mistake, we will lose the rural open feeling of the area which we enjoy at the moment, to give mean example the new flats on the Winston Churchill site are really out of keeping with the area, they are too high and are unsightly on that space, we are not and inner city and if your proposals go ahead we will take on this characteristic. I do appreciate that we need more housing, but we do not need to go the way of London to build high rise flats and multi-storey cars parks, also the lack of parking is already a problem with more houses

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1668 Name Janet bateman





and flats the parking problem can only get worse. The other question is the need to increase the number of doctors, hospitals schools etc. These do not seem to be addressed with the existing development that has been taking place, so can only see the problem increasing in the future.

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1668 Name Janet bateman



comments you may have on this.



	Disagree				
	Please explain your choice in Question 7:				
	As I mentioned on the previous question I cannot see these issues being addressed to sufficient levels as they have been met previously				
8.	An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any				

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

bateman

Stakeholder ID 1668 Name Janet