



## Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	4719	Name	James	Walters
Method	Survey	_		
Date				
	elements of the ful	I response such as	formatting and ima	s database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation ages may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review icy team: <a href="mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk">ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk</a>

## Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

The long term vision of protecting the Green Belt is good, but the Local Plan does not fulfil this vision because the boundaries will have to be moved to accommodate some development.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

The intrusion into the Green Belt is not a viable solution and once stated may not stop. The plan for 360 homes in or around Theydon Bois is not justified as it would add 20%+ more homes to the village all areas can only cope with so much traffic and occupation towns can benefit from development with better infrastructure and facilities.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

By focusing development around town it would help sustain the local economy and not use Green Belt land.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4719

Name James

Walters





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in
	Epping?

Buckhurst Hill?

Loughton Broadway?

Chipping Ongar?

Loughton High Road?

Waltham Abbey?

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Employment development should be proposed for areas in or around towns or the District that want to expand, not on the Green Belt areas, which would impact on local transport and infrastructure.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Walters

Stakeholder ID 4719 Name James





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

## No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Several of the sites in Theydon Bois are on the Green Belt and if developed would vastly change the setting of the village. Also housing a part of the countryside at this present time the infrastructure just about copes, with this size of development it would become. The tube is overcrowded, roads busy from early morning and evening. We still get occasional power cuts, school traffic is becoming dangerous for children and elderly. 360 more homes are not going to help.

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4719 Name James Walters





7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Infrastructure is a key requirement for any new development there does not appear to be any specific requirements, most being difficult to quantify.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Regarding Theydon Bois, this appraisal sets out the basis of assessing sites in the Green Belt to being, new sites to be considered to make the most of the transport links in the area. All you would be doing is putting more pressure on these already busy transport links.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4719 Name James Walters