

Stakeholder Reference:
Document Reference:

Part A

Making representation as Resident or Member of the General Public

Personal Details		Agent's Details (if applicable)	
Title	Mr		
First Name	GEOFF		
Last Name	KING		
Job Title (where relevant)			
Organisation (where relevant)			
Address			
Post Code			
Telephone Number			
E-mail Address			

Part B

REPRESENTATION

To which Main Modification number and/or supporting document of the Local Plan does your representation relate to?

MM no: 15

Supporting document reference: L. South Epping Masterplan Area Capacity Analysis (Sites EPP.R1 and EPP.R2), March 2020 (ED120/ EB1421)

Do you consider this Main Modification and/or supporting document of the Local Plan to be:

Legally compliant: No

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Positively prepared, Effective, Justified

Please give details of why you consider the Main Modification and/or supporting document is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

On the question of changed numbers, to take Epping as an example, the headline number of total dwellings planned for Epping is unchanged from the 2017 version, at 11,400 new homes by 2033. However, the numbers specified in this latest document for Epping total only 8,400, which represents a reduction compared to 2017 of 1,146 homes. Yet, no explanation is given as to why there is a very significant mis-match between the overall target total for Epping, and the specific per-site numbers in the document. Most importantly, where will the 3,000 missing homes go?? Or, is the overall target now reduced to 8,400?

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Main Modification and/or supporting document legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with national policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The necessary change is to provide coherent numbers which add up, and to explain in full how all the target numbers will be achieved.

Signature: GEOFF KING Date:
14/09/2021