

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1451 Name Pauline Lazenby

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

I can't quite see how this will enhance the quality of life for the people of Epping Forest District. Our roads are terrible now with the traffic that comes through, I live inRedacted... and this road is a nightmare especially at School time. Car drivers park on the pavements, and others speed up and down the road. Where will all the extra traffic go? You haven't highlighted any infrastructure improvements which is very worrying. Are there going to be traffic management schemes, new Doctor surgeries and Schools etc. You are not protecting the Green Belt and environment because you propose to build on it.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

I feel that Epping has been allocated far too many homes compared to other areas. Why build on Green Belt land when there could be homes built within areas where there are already homes, such as St Johns Road, Epping. I understand that new homes must be built in the area, but not at the expense of the Green Belt. If Harlow wants to expand, could they not take on more new homes, so that we in Epping have less?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1451 Name Pauline Lazenby

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

If new homes are to be built in the area around Epping, we deserve that these sites are also comprehensively planned to provide the same facilities etc as Harlow. I still strongly disagree with the proposals to build on the Green Belt, wherever it is.

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Yes

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

All the shop fronts should be in keeping with the area. With all the proposed new housing, would there be new local shops built, and if so how will that impact on our local high street.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

This statement does not ring true as you are proposing to build new homes on some of these existing employment sites. Even the station car park is to be built on which doesn't make any sense.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

How can this decision be made without even knowing what type of housing is being built in terms of size such as flats or 5 bedroomed houses. How can you tell if precious land is being effectively used.

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

The draft local plan does not include an infrastructure Delivery plan. Promises are being made, but there are no details. There are no details about locations of additional infrastructure, or whether these would encroach further into the Green Belt. Additional development outside Epping could seriously impact our traffic and parking. Who is going to fund all of this. Where are the 2 local sports fields and Sports centre going to be reallocated. Epping needs these facilities. I am amazed to think that the Limes medical centre can take a 50 per cent increase in patients. It can take up to 3 weeks to get an appointment. When you get there, you can't park. How do you know how many people will be moving into the area if you don't know the size of the proposed dwellings? There are already lots of areas where traffic is held up now, it will become 10 times worse unless there are plans in force to deal with this.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

There needs to be a lot more details released for anyone to make an informed decision.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

H1

This needs to be more specific so that we can judge its impact and character.

DM7

Heritage assets. These should be reviewed to increase the local listings and they should be specifically identified.

DM9

High quality design. The public should be able to give their views on Masterplans.

There is likely to be an increased demand for parking in the area and the use of the Central line from the additional population in communities other than Epping. TFL don't seem to think this will make much difference. I find this extremely worrying. My fear living in ...Redacted... with all the commuter parking, and the additional chaos from parents delivering and collecting children from Ivy Chimmneys School is that a child will be killed on this road. Then it will all be too late.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)