

Stakeholder ID 4738



## Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Van Pragg

C A

Name

Me	thod	Survey	
Dat	te		
		me elements of the ful	d using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation Il response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review onse, please contact the Planning Policy team: <a href="mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk">ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk</a>
Su	rvey Res	sponse:	
1.	Do you a	gree with the overal	l vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?
	Agree		
	Please e	xplain your choice ir	Question 1:
2.	Do you a		l vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?
	Please ex	xplain your choice ir	Question 2:
3.	Do you a	gree with the propo	sals for development around Harlow?
٥.	Strongly		sats for development around rightow:
	•	xplain your choice ir	Question 3:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4738 Name C A Van Pragg





4.	Do you	agree	with	the	proposed	shopping	area i	in

Epping?

Buckhurst Hill?

Loughton Broadway?

Chipping Ongar?

Loughton High Road?

Waltham Abbey?

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 5:





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

## No

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

The area proposed in Thornwood is prone to flooding and the existing gardens in Brookfield backing on to the site become flooded practically every time it rains. The ground is clay and water takes hours to drain away. If 120 houses were to be built on this relatively small area, it would represent 40% of housing for the whole village of Thornwood. It would seem like living in a town. Heavy traffic congestion is already a massive problem, presuming each of the 120 households will own one vehicle it would exacerbate the problem. More likely each household could own 2 vehicles and that could prove to be dangerous. Looking at the map, access from the main Thornwood High Road would be a major problem and dangerous. Access from Weald Hall Lane, which is very narrow could be worse. Industrial sites along this lane do not have enough parking facilities for their workers so they usually park in the road. One company uses heavy goods vehicles and through lack of space they have to temporarily park in the lane various times of the day. The road is so narrow without pavements. When compiling our "village plan" the majority of the village voted that any future housing development should be built on existing brown land or on land being used for industrial purposes becoming vacant. It was deemed desirable that new housing projects should be built in small groups in order to keep Thornwood as a country village and not turn it into a town. In January 2011 the planning officer for EFDC confirmed to me in writing that the proposed site was "green belt".

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4738 Name C A Van Pragg





Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

## Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?no.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)