

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3039 Name john Geddes

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

....Redacted.....Redacted....Redacted....The Planning Policy Team Directorate of Neighbourhoods. How can you possibly consider housing in Church Lane when there has been flooding there in the past. As you must be aware, all of North Weald's waste water empties into the Cripsey brook which runs parallel to and to the East of Church Lane. You must also be aware of the width of the brook, about three feet. To dispose of the extra waste water the proposed increase of North Weald's housing stock will create will mean enlarging the brook. Will this lead to flooding in Morton, Ongar and Abridge? John Prescott's East of England Regional Plan included mass housing on the airfield, an investigation at the time concluded that this was not viable as water disposal via Cripsey Brook was impractical. The only possible solution was to construct a water course that did not connect to the river Roding. The cost of such a water course was so high that the project was abandoned. What has changed since then? Nothing, any large scale building will result in a flood risk to North Weald, Morton, Ongar and places further downstream of the Roding. North Weald High Road is a very busy road and it is not unusual for traffic to be queued from the traffic lights at Palmers Hill, Epping to the Coopersale junction. The building of over 1500 homes means at least 1500 extra cars, as the majority of residents are commuters they will use their own transport to get to work as there is no practical alternative. The car park at Epping tube station is not large enough at the moment and is often full by 7.30am, despite costing £6 per day. The local school is too small at this moment in time, will St John's secondary school be able to cope with these extra children. Before you consider building more housing and putting a struggling infrastructure under more pressure, why not fix the present problems and shortfalls within the infrastructure. When that has been addressed then you can consider increasing the number of houses without detriment to the present inhabitants.Redacted....

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3039 Name john Geddes

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

See comments in question 1

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

See comments in question 1

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

See comments in question 1

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
-

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)