

## Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4691 Name R.P Lockwood

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: [ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk](mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk)

### Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

We are extremely concerned about changing to the Green Belt boundaries. We value the village character of Theydon Bois and feel widening the boundaries would alter the village in a detrimental way. The draft local plan does not protect the Green Belt, and we feel this to be quite wrong.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Following on from our views as stated above, we feel there is absolutely no justification for 360 new properties in and around Theydon Bois. Already it is almost impossible to park locally, get an early doctors appt, and the central line trains are packed to capacity. We live in a village, we feel new homes should be built if necessary in or around existing infrastructure and amenities.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

We feel it would be much better to build new homes in or around existing towns. The Green belt is very important, and needs to be kept safe.

### Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4691 Name R.P Lockwood

---

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Buckhurst Hill?

Loughton Broadway?

Chipping Ongar?

Loughton High Road?

Waltham Abbey?

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

**Any shopping areas needed should not in any way affect adversely existing local shops found in local villages, small towns. The local plan should support new shopping areas by focusing housing and job development in the larger towns and places where there are already large shopping facilities.**

---

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

**Again, New job opportunities should be within the larger sites near or in the towns of the area or places which are happy to expand in a sustainable way. EFDC's plans for jobs creation on Green Belt sites are not sustainable, it will badly affect \*illegible\* infrastructure and local job possibilities.**

---

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Four of the Theydon Bois proposed sites are in the Green Belt, which would be affected very badly should houses be built on them. This will spoil our lovely country ide if the natural beauty of our little village which is so loved by us all. 360 New houses is a massive allocation if as we understand it, would increase population in Theydon Bois by 23%. This would completely destroy the character of the village and therefore, would not be in agreement with EFDC's "vision" Infrastructure would not cope if central line, doctors surgeries etc, electricity, water sewage etc.

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

There is no information given on the plan as to what infrastructure would be put in place. It all seems very difficult to be decided. There are no subject requirements given out.

---

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

This Appraisal does not support the wide disposal of building development in and around the large and small villages of the area. In Theydon Bois we are already inundated with parked cars in all the roads. Transport links are at full capacity. The central line is a nightmare much of the time. Village people who find it hard to walk through \*illegible\* cannot drive to the shops as there is nowhere to park. The station does not \*illegible\* good, road network and bus services and is overcrowded and congested already. there are already many more cars on the roads than 2 or 3 years ago. Parking is difficult. Housing numbers are not classed as a special reason for development on green belt. All clear and \*illegible\*

---

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

The local character of small villages should be maintained. That is very important car parking is already very difficult in T Bois

---