

Name:

David Linnell for LRA

Part B – Your representation on the Main Modifications and/or supporting documents

If you wish to make more than one representation, please complete a separate [Part B form](#) for each representation and clearly print your name at the top of this form.

4. Which **Main Modification number and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to? (Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2 and each Supporting Document has a reference number beginning with ED).

Any representation on a supporting document should clearly state (in question 6) which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

MM no.

48

Supporting document reference

5. Do you consider this **Main Modification and/or supporting document**:

(Please refer to the Guidance notes for an explanation of terms)

a) Is Legally compliant

Yes

x

No

b) Sound

Yes

No

x

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail

Positively prepared

x

Effective

x

Justified

x

Consistent with national policy

x

6. Please give details of why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

MM48 P77 Policy DM 3 Page 84

We are pleased that the Council have implicitly acknowledged that *every* development proposal must be examined in relation to its potential detrimental effect on the Epping Forest SAC; recognising, for instance that a proposal (other than a de minimis application) to increase the size of an existing dwelling *may* have a deleterious effect on the SAC, by the accommodation of extra people, their animals and vehicles, and vehicles, as well as one for an extra dwelling.

(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

7. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with national policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

1. Amend Part A (i) and the addition of new Part as follows:
“(i) be sensitive to their setting in the landscape, in particular in settlement edge locations, and to its local distinctiveness and characteristics.” “. The impact of proposed development and its design will be assessed with reference to the landscape sensitivity studies and the Historic Environment Characterisation Study or subsequent studies.”
2. New part
“Where the edge of the development is within 1km (or the agreed protection zone) of the Epping Forest SAC, special and particular attention will be necessary to ensure beyond all reasonable scientific doubt there would be no detriment to the SAC.

(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

8. Have you attached any documents with this representation which specifically relate to an MM or supporting document?

☐

Yes

☒

No

Signature:

....Redacted....

Date

20/09/21