

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2426 Name frances adkins

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

Nazeing specifically has not got and there is no information to improve the infrastructure to support the proposed growth of the village. Nazeing would actually suffer from the proposed expansion.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Nazeing seems to have a dis proportion of distribution. If Greenbelt is used/ released, this would be an unnecessary strip the village of protected land. Areas within EFDC have brownfield sites and space ready and with the infrastructure such as Harlow.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

THIS MEANS GREENBELT LAND WILL BEE DEVELOPED which is meant to be protected and should not be built on.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2426

Name frances

adkins

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Nazeing has narrow roads that cause hazards with the heavy lorries, on some roads such as St Leonards which isn't particularly narrow. 1 lorry has to stop while the lorry in opposite direction manovers around the stopped lorry. The EU vehicles have larger wing mirrors caused added pedestrian hazards.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Greenbelt should be protected St Leonards road floods with heavy rain, flooding causes sewage to overspill. St Leonards road has just been/ still being re tarmacked which would be ruined adding so many more vehicles would deteriorate the other roads further school and transport limited. Apparently an assessment says the school has places.... The school uses 2 porta cabins for classrooms and each class in each year group is full The

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

sewage work would have to be upgraded throughout the village to be sustainable. We suffer power cuts already.

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

The whole sewage system would need upgrading Drainage would need improving flooding occurs so easily on St Leonards road now, to build on the fields would make the situation worse. No fields to absorb the water. More waste being produced to potentially overspill on our pavements. This would need to be completed before any development

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Why does Greenbelt land need to be built on. Wildlife will suffer, the village will suffer.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Nazeing residents have not been informed with regards to the proposal. Elderly residents/ non computer users have not been distributed to residents with a questionnaire. The huge change and impact on the village has not been expressed. During peak times all 4 roads from Nazeing cross roads are backed up with traffic. without adding to the problem with potentially an extra 500 odd cars.
