



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	2001	Name	julie	murley		
Method		Survey					
Dat	te						
		elements of th	ne full response suc	ch as formatting a	uncil's database of responses to the End images may not appear accurately ng Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingfor	. Should you wish to review	
Su	rvey Respoi	nse:					
1.	Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?						
	Strongly dis	agree					
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:						
	No building	on green be	elt				
2.	Do you agree		verall vision that	the Draft Plan s	ets out for Epping Forest District?		
	• •	•	ce in Question 2:				
	Keep Essex	•					
3.	Do you agree	e with the p	roposals for deve	lopment around	Harlow?		
	Strongly dis	agree					
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 3:				
	No addition	building of	housing or any o	ther sorr			

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2001 Name julie murley





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in					
	Epping?					
	Yes					
	Buckhurst Hill?					
	No					
	Loughton Broadway?					
	No					
	Chipping Ongar?					
	No					
	Loughton High Road?					
	Yes					
	Waltham Abbey?					
	Yes					
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:					
	Keep rural towns rural					
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?					
	Strongly disagree					
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:					

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2001

Name julie

murley





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2001

Name julie

murley





Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?
 Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

murley

Stakeholder ID 2001 Name julie