



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	1105	Name	Matthew	Brett		
Method	Survey					
Date		_				
This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: Idfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk						
Survey Respo	nse:					

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

You are adding more homes, to small villages which cannot cope with such large overloads. We moved to a village for the quiet village lifestyle. These proposals are ridiculous.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

You should not be able to consider green belt land at all. You chip away a little now. The. A little bit more in 10 years time, the. A little more. Stop ignoring the problem and letting someone else deal with your problems in 30 years time and step up to the plate!

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

As long as Harlow is also refurbished and not left to remain as it looks. The houses and estates all need rebuilding and brought in line with the surrounding areas. Building just new homes won't be the answer.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1105 Name Matthew Brett





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in
	Epping?
	Yes
	Buckhurst Hill?
	Yes
	Loughton Broadway?
	Yes
	Chipping Ongar?
	Yes
	Loughton High Road?
	Yes
	Waltham Abbey?
	Yes
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:
	All need investment to protect shop owners for the future. Or you will just have a issue like Chingford Mount which just seems unkept, unloved and all the life drained out of it.
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development? No opinion
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1105 Name Matthew Brett





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Brett

No

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1105 Name Matthew





Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

These are all small villages which attract people towards them for this reason. Building a few extra houses every 10 years is. natural progression for these villages. Slow growth. Or putting 50+ houses on them. We moved to Coopersale as it gave us that village feel.Redacted..... If a travellers site is put there we will be leaving the area all together. Look at the mess you've caused at Woodside which you can't even control. How you going to control a bigger site in Moreton?

	you going to control a bigger site in Moreton?
7.	Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?
	Disagree
	Please explain your choice in Question 7:
	You will not increase the amount of doctors, teachers etc. Building new buildings is one thing. Filling them is another matter
8.	An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1105 Name Matthew Brett