



# Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	2172	Name	David	Prior		
Method		Survey					
Da	te						
		elements of th	ie full response suc	h as formatting ar	ncil's database of responses to th d images may not appear accurat g Policy team: <a href="mailto:ldfconsult@epping">ldfconsult@epping</a>	ely. Should you wish to review	
Su	rvey Respo	nse:					
1.	7 7						
	No opinion Please explain your choice in Question 1:						
2.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan se	ts out for Epping Forest Distric	ct?	
	Please expla	ain your choid	ce in Question 2:				
3.	Do you agre	e with the pr	oposals for deve	opment around	Harlow?		
	, ,	•	ce in Question 3:	•			

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in						
	Epping?						
	No opinion Buckhurst Hill?						
	No opinion						
	Loughton Broadway?						
	Yes						
	Chipping Ongar?						
	No opinion						
	Loughton High Road?						
	Yes						
	Waltham Abbey?						
	No opinion						
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:						
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?						
	Agree						
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:						

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

### No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Debden and Loughton Station car parks should not be used for housing. Former PO site restrict height to 4stories. Retain 25% of existing Colebrook Lane/Jessell drive site.

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chiqwell (Draft Policy P 7)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?
 No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)