



# Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	2675	Name	julie	Gilbert		
Me	thod	Survey					
Dat	te						
		elements of th	ne full response suc	ch as formatting a	ncil's database of responses to the d images may not appear accurately g Policy team: <a href="mailto:ldfconsult@eppingfo">ldfconsult@eppingfo</a>	y. Should you wish to review	
Su	rvey Respo	nse:					
1.	Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?						
	Strongly disagree						
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:						
	I don't see I	now the infr	astructure has b	een considered	to support this such as trains, s	schools etc	
2.	Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?  Disagree						
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 2:				
	Loughton is run area	already hig	hly dense area a	nd the plan is t	king valuable green space and	turning this into an over	
3.	Do you agre	e with the pr	oposals for deve	lopment around	Harlow?		
	•	ain vour choi	ce in Question 3:				
	•	about Lough					

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2675 Name julie Gilbert





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in					
	Epping?					
	No opinion					
	Buckhurst Hill?					
	No opinion					
	Loughton Broadway?					
	No					
	Chipping Ongar?					
	No opinion					
	Loughton High Road?					
	No					
	Waltham Abbey?					
	No opinion					
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:					
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?					
	Disagree					
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:					

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2675

Name julie

Gilbert





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

### No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

### No opinion

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2675

Name julie

Gilbert





Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Presently the infrastructure struggles - try the central line at rush hour!

- An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2675

Name julie

Gilbert