



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	1992	Name	frances	hillier	
Method		Survey				
Dat	te					
	This documen 2016. Some 6	elements of th	ie full response suc	ch as formatting and	il's database of responses to the Draft Lo images may not appear accurately. Shoul Policy team: <u>Idfconsult@eppingforestdc.c</u>	d you wish to review
Su	rvey Respor	nse:				
1.	Do you agree	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan set	out for Epping Forest District?	
	Agree					
	Please expla	in your choic	ce in Question 1:			
			with the numbe ful town into a c		e also need to have places to play fo	or our children
2.	Do you agree Disagree	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan se	out for Epping Forest District?	
	Please expla	in your choic	ce in Question 2:			
	You are squ cope	eezing to m	any properties i	nto a built up ar∈	a. Roads. Schools. Doctors. Hospitals	how will they
3.	, ,	e with the pr	oposals for deve	lopment around F	ırlow?	
	Agree Please expla	in your choid	ce in Question 3:			

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1992 Name frances hillier





Epping?
Yes
Buckhurst Hill?
Yes
Loughton Broadway?
Yes
Chipping Ongar?
Yes
Loughton High Road?
Yes

Waltham Abbey?

Yes

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Yes more jobs. Less travelling oit of the area to get to the shops we need

Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

I agree with all sites But the jessel green site. This green is used by so many of the local people. Children, play groups, fitness clubs, air ambulance. Its where you go when it snows. The annual summer fete the play scheme for the kids in the summer. You will take away the heart of the community if you take away its area to play and meet. Also it floods at the bottom its always wet. This protects the houses around the green from flooding. There is a stream under the green that used to end in a pond where the cottage loaf is situated. It would be irresponcible to place housing on this area this will course flood issue to surrounding roads and houses. Obesity is a major government concern there is a major incress in rickets in children (lack of vit d

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

hillier Stakeholder ID 1992 Name frances





from sunlight). Take away the places for us to exersize and safe places for our children to play and you add to this crisis.

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1992 Name frances hillier





7.	you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?					
	Agree					
	Please explain your choice in Question 7:					
8.	An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.					

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1992 Name frances hillier