



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	3083	Name	julie	Bedwell
Method	Survey	_		
Date		_		

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

- 1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?
 - Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

The proposed Plan clearly earmarks green belt and other definable green spaces within Epping Forest as potential sites for building and is therefore completely contrary to the 'vision'.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

There is no clear justification for an additional 360 homes to be built in Theydon Bois. The village infrastructure cannot sustain this expansion and it is not logical. Is it not better to build homes closer to existing large settlements and to therefore benefit from existing transportation, education and health facilities.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Although this would still expand into green belt land it is more sustainable to focus development in and around existing large towns.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3083 Name julie Bedwell





4.	bo you agree with the proposed shopping area in
	Epping?
	Yes
	Buckhurst Hill?
	No opinion
	Loughton Broadway?
	No opinion
	Chipping Ongar?
	No opinion
	Loughton High Road?
	Yes
	Waltham Abbey?
	Yes
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?
	No opinion
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3083

Name julie

Bedwell





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Notwithstanding the points raised already regarding the green belt I have particular concern regarding the field at the end of Forest Drive.Redacted..... In very wet weather theRedacted.... becomes extremely boggy, the footpath between it and the field becomes extremely muddy and the field itself impossible to walk across. I know many people with dogs who are frequent users but they always avoid walking through it after heavy rain - even in wellies!! If this is all tarmacked over where is all this rain water going to go? This is a serious problem now and will only be increased with building work. In addition I am concerned about the wildlife and existing hedgerows - will these be protected in any way?

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3083 Name julie Bedwell





Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

What undertakings are there that the correct provision of the correct services will be ready at the right place at the right time?

An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

I am particularly concerned about the transport links, especially the underground line which is often at or over capacity in peak times between Epping and central London. The parking in and around the station leaves much to be desired and would only get worse with any local expansion. Please DO NOT spoil our dark skies policy!

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Redwell