

# Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	2923	Name	Graham & Anita Saggers
Method	Survey		
Date		_	

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: <a href="https://docs.org/licenses/lice

## Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

### Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

Much of the area proposed for future housing in Nazeing will be built on green belt land

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Nazeing is a village with no Post Office and from January 2017 no public transport. Our one primary school already has temporary classrooms and will not cope.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

#### Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Harlow is vast with good shops, public transport, schools a hospital and will easily be able to take more housing estates..

#### Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





- 4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in... Epping? Yes **Buckhurst Hill?** Yes Loughton Broadway? Yes Chipping Ongar? Yes Loughton High Road? Yes Waltham Abbey? Yes Please explain your choice in Question 4: Right for larger towns.
- 5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

#### Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Further heavy goods vehicles through Nazeing, cannot cope now.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area? 6. Epping (Draft Policy P 1): No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Epping: Loughton (Draft Policy P 2) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton: Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey: Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar: Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill: North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett: Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett: Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois: Roydon (Draft Policy P 9) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon: Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10) No Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing: Reasons given above, Traffic and loss of green belt. Housing should be on brown field sites only. Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood: Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2923





#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

- Infrastructure will not cope in Nazeing.
- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

No specific reasons to build on green belt. Serious effect on area and wildlife.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

## Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)