



# Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	34	Name	Jacqui	Niner	Resident
Method	Survey	_			
Date					

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: <a href="mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk">ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk</a>

# Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

## Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

The proposal changes the area negatively, overpopulation where there are limited schools and facilites is not a sensible option - Loughton is currently a high cost area to live in and increased council housing will impact on this and increases risk of becoming London overspill area for challenged or problematic population - direct impact on standard of living and does not benefit the children that have grown in the area as most do not meet council housing criteria. If affordable housing available for our children would be more welcome. The number of local jobs does not require influx of population, people can travel to Loughton easily if development of industry/ retail offers employment. Local college is classic example as most Loughton children going to Harlow as pushed out with Epping full of London residents and not local residents.

Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

For reasons above - too much pressure on facilities and taking the quality of life from residents who value green areas and pay highly for the current environment.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

## Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Planning and talking does not change the fact that people do not want increased population, there is no money to increase education or health facilities and we already have an ED in special measures and a CCG in financial deficit. No planning will remove negativity of increased housing/ population unless it is to accommodate existing residents ie.adult children unable to leave family home due to cost but already covered by current facilities. My children cannot even get on council waiting list because they have not been abused or destitute - disgusting.

4.	Do you a	gree with	the pi	roposed	shopping	area	in
----	----------	-----------	--------	---------	----------	------	----

Epping?

No

**Buckhurst Hill?** 

No

Loughton Broadway?

No

Chipping Ongar?

No

Loughton High Road?

No

Waltham Abbey?

No

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

Loughton High Road cannot attract retailers because rates are so high, good that Langston Road is being developed as people can enter and leave without impact on local traffic yet will bring jobs. All other areas should be kept as is.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

#### Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Langston Road and similar type areas but green areas should be lost - we are located between and have good access to Romford, Westfield, Harlow and do not need built up shopping areas or want traffic or people being brought locally and causing unwanted congestion and overcrowding.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

#### No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

As explained - this housing is not specific to local population and therefore is simply gong to increase population of either those who can afford it or London overspill, we need housing designed to support Loughton families being able to remain in the areas.

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

## Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

The plan is ambitious and not deliverable - the current services are creaking and even if commissioned the workforce and lower than London rates will not attract staffing which is limited.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

All plans need to be revisited and designed to be protected for local residents, landscape and quality of life in respective areas.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)