



## Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stak	ceholder ID	4785	Name	Marilyn	Davis	
Method		Survey				
Date	Э					
		elements of the	full response suc	h as formatting and	il's database of responses to the E images may not appear accurately Policy team: <u>Idfconsult@eppingfor</u>	. Should you wish to review
Sur	vey Respoi	nse:				
1.	Do you agre	e with the ove	erall vision that	the Draft Plan se	out for Epping Forest District?	
	Disagree					
	Please expla	ain your choice	e in Question 1:			
	large city - housing (as	having come proposed) ex	from a London tends all the lit	Borough. I don't	nted to live in the countryside onsider my quality of life wou d the area. Just because it is	lld be enhanced if new
	Strongly dis	agree		the Draft Plan se	out for Epping Forest District?	
	-	-	e in Question 2:			
	I don't think	c even 1% of (	Green Belt shou	ıld be released. I	ave all brownfield site been ir	ivestigated?
	Do you agre	e with the pro	posals for deve	opment around F	rlow?	
	Please expla	ain your choice	e in Question 3:			

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4785 Name Marilyn Davis





4.	Do you	agree with	the pro	posed sh	opping	area	in
----	--------	------------	---------	----------	--------	------	----

Epping?

Yes

**Buckhurst Hill?** 

Loughton Broadway?

Chipping Ongar?

Loughton High Road?

Waltham Abbey?

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

Not at the expense of the local shops.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

How many existing employment sites are to be protected? Are companies looking to develop employment and job creation in this area of high costs?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4785 Name Marilyn





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

## No

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Particularly in the area i live (Coopersale). The Draft Local Plan has earmarked all green spaces in the village. The Government is supposedly worried about lack of exercise and obesity, particularly in the young and you are taking away part of a school playing field and a cricket pitch, bith of which are used by the community, public, school. Improving green spaces is important for the health of local communities, so why is EFDC Draft Local Plan ignoring this?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4785 Name Marilyn Davis





7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

## Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Epping now struggles with its infrastructure and by adding 11,000 plus more dwellings this will have to be greatly improved. Doctors surgeries seem to be filled to capacity when trying to make appointments. Transport on already very very busy roads, tubes, often busy at all times of the day. Schools certainly not enough schools. There are already waiting lists at the primary schools in and around Epping. New housing brings more children and certainly more cars.

- An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4785 Name Marilyn Davis