



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

| Stakeholder ID | 2098 | Name | Janet | Doyle |
|----------------|--------|------|-------|-------|
| Method | Survey | _ | | |
| Date | | | | |
| | | | | |

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

I agree with the comment on an enhanced quality of life. However, I'm not convinced that jobs and infrastructure will be delivered with the vision. The village currently has no doctors for example and the village school is already full. New infrastructure would require these essential services for the rapidly growing population that the new houses would bring. Roads around the Roydon area are already hugely busy in peak times and building the suggested number of homes will only congest the area even more if we assume each household will probably have two cars. The local environment can only be affected with building on the green belt, thus taking away the rural aspect of the village and disturbing local flora & fauna. During the building phase vehicle access would be problematic accessing sites.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

I disagree for the reasons outlined above.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Again, for the reasons outlined earlier I disagree with the proposals.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2098 Name Janet Doyle

1





| 4. | Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in | | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Epping? | | | | | |
| | No opinion | | | | | |
| | Buckhurst Hill? | | | | | |
| | No opinion | | | | | |
| | Loughton Broadway? | | | | | |
| | No opinion | | | | | |
| | Chipping Ongar? | | | | | |
| | No opinion | | | | | |
| | Loughton High Road? | | | | | |
| | No opinion | | | | | |
| | Waltham Abbey? | | | | | |
| | No opinion | | | | | |
| | Please explain your choice in Question 4: | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 5. | Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development? | | | | | |
| | No opinion | | | | | |
| | Please explain your choice in Question 5: | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2098 Name Janet Doyle





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Again, for the reasons outlined earlier I don't agree with the proposed sites in Roydon, mainly access, increased number of vehicles using the already busy roads at peak times and the impact it will have on the local environment.

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2098 Name Janet Doyle





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan? No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2098 Name Janet Doyle