



# Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID Method		2448	Name	Mel	Bannister		
		Survey					
Da	te						
		elements of th	ne full response suc	ch as formatting	uncil's database of responses to the Draft Local nd images may not appear accurately. Should y ng Policy team: <a href="mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov">ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov</a>	ou wish to review	
Su	rvey Respo	nse:					
1.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan	ets out for Epping Forest District?		
	Strongly dis	agree					
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:						
	The whole for reason.	thing is ill th	ought out and ri	diculous.It ap	pears to have been thrown together with	out any thought	
2.	Do you agre Strongly dis		verall vision that	the Draft Plan	ets out for Epping Forest District?		
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 2:				
	Hopeless pr	oposals.Gre	en belt should n	ot be released			
3.	Do you agre	e with the pı	roposals for deve	lopment around	Harlow?		
	Agree						
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 3:				

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2448 Name Mel Bannister





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in					
	Epping?					
	No					
	Buckhurst Hill?					
	No					
	Loughton Broadway?					
	No					
	Chipping Ongar?					
	No					
	Loughton High Road?					
	No					
	Waltham Abbey?					
	No					
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:					
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?					
	No opinion					
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:					

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Bannister

Stakeholder ID 2448 Name Mel





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

#### No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

#### No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

#### No

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

#### No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

## No opinion

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2448 Name Mel Bannister





Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2448 Name Mel Bannister