

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2705 Name Suhail Khawaja

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

The vision does not provide enough detail, it only highlight existing problems, such as traffic congestion and parking but does not say how these issue will be dealt with given the proposed changes.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

The proposals are invariably about using brownfield sites e.g. Car parks in Loughton, where are the proposals to say how will the existing usage be met and the proposed additional car parking spaces be met, not to mention the increased traffic though the high road.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2705 Name Suhail Khawaja

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Yes

Buckhurst Hill?

Yes

Loughton Broadway?

Yes

Chipping Ongar?

Yes

Loughton High Road?

Yes

Waltham Abbey?

Yes

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

neither agree nor disagree

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

I do not agree with building on public car parks, which forces traffic onto local roads. No safeguards have been presented on how to control or mitigate for the increased traffic, parking problems, and maintenance of local roads. The car park is quite small at the Tube station but is still required.

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

I do not agree with building on public car parks, which forces traffic onto local roads. No safeguards have been presented on how to control or mitigate for the increased traffic, parking problems, and maintenance of local roads, notwithstanding the additional pressure that would result from the build. How are children and pensioners to get to the library and swimming pool? It is bad enough now without the additional homes but can you imagine what it would be like in the future? The Car Park at the tube station is a of vital use, any impact would be a strategic disaster to the existing local infrastructure, roads would be increasingly damaged, children need to get to school etc.Redacted....

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

No details have been provided, it is all waffle!

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

The 235 page local plan should be broken up to provide a more concise document for the smaller boroughs to make it easier to understand from a local perspective. As it stands it looks like a deliberate attempt to obfuscate the issues.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?