



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	4687	Name	Tom	Trew	
Method		Survey				
Dat	:e					
		elements of th	e full response suc	ch as formatting a	uncil's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consund images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to reng Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk	
Sui	rvey Respoi	nse:				
1.	Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?					
	Disagree					
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:					
	Although the plan spreads across the district, there is more scope to concentrate the requirements within the Harlow area. With easy access to schools, hospitals and a main live railway connection. It also has better links for motorway connection and employment opportunities.					
2.	Do you agre	e with the ov	erall vision that	the Draft Plan s	ets out for Epping Forest District?	
	Please explain your choice in Question 2:					
	There is mo This area of the M11 me	ore opportun ffers better k	ity to concentra prownfield deve ne draft plan. H	ate this around elopment. It wo	the Harlow area and also the North Weald airfield sold also benefite from the creation of the jct 7a platers the best opportunity for infrastructure updates	n of
3.	Do you agree	•	oposals for deve	lopment around	Harlow?	
	0.0	· ·	e in Question 3:			

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Name Tom

Trew

Stakeholder ID 4687

1





4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Buckhurst Hill?

Loughton Broadway?

Chipping Ongar?

No

Loughton High Road?

Waltham Abbey?

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

Ongar has not got access to enough parking spaces to consider any further development of retail premises. The high st has restrictive access from the south of the tonw due to the narrowing of the road by the old post office. The high street suffers badly already as it is used as a rat run. When there are any issues on either the M11 or M25.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

SR-0390 Any development on this site will create additional traffic through a restricted road already difficult to navigate (greenstead Rd to the borough) Access to the main Rd is already congested as it is used as a bus terminal. This junction is already difficult to use on the return journey into the borough from the main road - Oncoming traffic from coopers HIII do cut across the hatched area - increasing the traffic only increases the chance of a head on collision. Development on this site could also encourage more cars to use Greensted road to the north *illegible* road is too narrow for any increase in traffic volumes access to this site would only cause traffic issues as it is on a long slow bend in the road and could suffer from restricting visibility to cars

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4687 Name Tom Trew





from either direction. Both Rodrey Road and Fairfield rd suffer from drain problems and regularly need pumping out. Adding a possible 175 homes would need major sewer wirjs ti avoid any further issues.

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chiqwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

- An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4687 Name Tom Trew