

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	1961	Name	Leslie	Burrows
Method	Survey			
Date		-		

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: <a href="https://docs.org/licenses/lice

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

I am agreeing to this with reservations because it would appear that Epping has a disproportionate number of dwellings.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

It is my understanding that Harlow wishes to expand so therefore is seems a sensible option.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1961

1





- 4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in... Epping?
 Yes
 Buckhurst Hill?
 Yes
 Loughton Broadway?
 Yes
 Chipping Ongar?
 Yes
 Loughton High Road?
 Yes
 Waltham Abbey?
 Yes
 Please explain your choice in Question 4:
- 5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Burrows





Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area? 6. Epping (Draft Policy P 1): No Please provide reasons for your view on Epping: Some sites have habitat/nature/natural environment concerns and amenity value. SR-0132ci SR-0208 SR-0071 SR-0153 Loughton (Draft Policy P 2) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton: Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey: Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar: Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill: North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett: Chiqwell (Draft Policy P 7) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett: Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois: Roydon (Draft Policy P 9) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon: Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing: Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1961

Burrows





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

 Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan? Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

It is important that the infrastructure goes in before the development

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Burrows