

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	3175	Name	Jani	Isaacs
Method	Survey			
Date		_		

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: <a href="https://docs.org/licenses/lice

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

I do not believe there is the supporting infrastructure in the area. We already have severe congestion, a lack of parking etc etc.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District? Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Isaacs

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow? Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping? No opinion Buckhurst Hill? No opinion Loughton Broadway? No opinion Chipping Ongar? No opinion Loughton High Road? No opinion Waltham Abbey? No opinion Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development? Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Isaacs





 Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area? Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

The area is HEAVILY congested. Previous local and national government policies have cut local parking damaging local businesses. This may have appeared to benefit the environment in the short term but the only impact it will have in the current and long term will be to drive people away from local town centres. We cannot all walk or cycle and many people are now having larger families necessitating large amounts of shopping, not easily carried by hand. If current proposals are adopted even more parking will be lost and shops will eventually close. Buckhurst Hill commercially will become a ghost town and locals will all drive to Westfield or out of town shopping centres. The car park at the top of the hill is being built on. Waitrose car

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Isaacs





park is too small to handle the entire street's parking. When all the shops and businesses have closed down, there will be no business rates paid and Buckhurst Hill will become dangerous and crime ridden.

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree
Please explain your choice in Question 7:
Unworkable

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

I am very disappointed that this is being taken any further at all. The area cannot sustain expansion.

Isaacs

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3175

Name Jani





9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Name Jani

Isaacs