



# Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	2882	Name	Deborah	Harding	
Method		Survey				
Dat	e					
		elements of th	ne full response suc	h as formatting and		s to the Draft Local Plan Consultation ccurately. Should you wish to review ppingforestdc.gov.uk
Sur	vey Respoi	nse:				
1.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan sets	out for Epping Forest [	District?
	Strongly dis	agree				
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 1:			
				ructure especially ng the service fro		one can afford to run a car and
2.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan sets	out for Epping Forest [	District?
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 2:			
	I am totally sites	against the	development of	greenbelt, all alte	ernatives should be exl	nausted first -ie brownfield
3.	Do you agre	e with the pr	oposals for devel	opment around Ha	irlow?	
	Strongly dis	agree				
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 3:			
	The develop	pment that y	ou are proposing	g is on greenbelt,	which I am opposed to	)

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





	4.	Do you	agree with	the pro	posed sho	pping a	rea in
--	----	--------	------------	---------	-----------	---------	--------

Epping?

No opinion

**Buckhurst Hill?** 

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

New employment development is right for the areas that have infrastructure, Nazeing village already has enough problems with large lorries along St Leonards Road to North Street, the roads in the village are not all wide enough. I believe most people in Nazeing work outside of the village

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

#### No

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

I do not agree with the proposal that would see greenbelt in St Leonards Road being given over for saleable and shared ownership housing. Nazeng would become just another small town, which I left 10 years ago to move to amore rural location. Also on 03/01/2017 the 505 bus service will only be operating on a Saturday and the 392 service will be discontinued so unless Epping is going to subsidise the public transport and deal with

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





the other problems of flooding that also occurs here, and further invest in more doctors surgeries then I do not believe that the village could sustain expansion whilst still remaining as a village

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

### Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

If the proposals for Nazeing go ahead, I would like to be assured that all essential work is carried out prior to any development ie that the problems the village has with flooding will be dealt with and that the bus services will be subsidised by Epping so that we have a transport system.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

I do not agree with building on greenbelt, especially in Nazeing, as previously stated all other alternatives should be considered first, as any development of the greenbelt will mean that Nazeing, as far as I'm concerned will no longer be considered a village

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)